
1992

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

**SAMPLE COSTS TO PRODUCE ORGANIC WINE GRAPES
IN THE NORTH COAST**

With An Annually Sown Cover Crop

Prepared by:

Karen Klonsky
Laura Tourte,
Chuck Ingels,

Extension Economist, U.C. Davis
Postgraduate Researcher, U.C. Davis
Information Analyst, Sustainable Agriculture
Research and Education Program, U.C. Davis

Contributing Authors:

Rachel Elkins,
Pete Livingston
Glenn McGourty
Rhonda Smith
Ed Weber

Farm Advisor, Lake County
Staff Research Associate, U.C. Davis
Farm Advisor, Mendocino County
Farm Advisor, Sonoma County
Farm Advisor, Napa County

Cooperating Growers:

Ron Bartolucci
John Clendenen
Bob Ellis
Mary Hall
Clay Shannon

Fetzer Vineyards, Mendocino County
Preston Vineyards, Sonoma County
Mark West Winery, Sonoma County
Buena Vista Winery & Vineyards, Sonoma County
Sutter Home Vineyards and Winery, Lake County

Revised 12/21/93

The University of California Cooperative Extension in compliance with the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, religion, color, national origins, or mental or physical handicaps in any of its programs or activities, or with respect to any of its employment practices or procedures. The University of California does not discriminate on the basis of age, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship, medical condition (as defined in section 12926 of the California Government Code) or because the individuals are disabled or Vietnam era veterans. Inquiries regarding this policy may be directed to the Personnel Studies and Affirmative Action Manager, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2120 University Avenue, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, (510) 644-4270.

University of California and the United States Department of Agriculture cooperating.

1992 - U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

OVERVIEW OF ORGANIC WINE GRAPE PRODUCTION IN THE NORTH COAST

Introduction:

Recently, many wine grape growers in the North Coast region of California have shown an interest in organic agricultural production techniques. This interest may be influenced by concerns about long-term soil health and crop viability and such factors as a desire to decrease the use of pesticides and synthetic fertilizers. Growers may also view organic production as a marketing tool to appeal to environmentally conscious consumers.

This overview is meant to guide the reader through topics and issues that are integral to organic wine grape farming. Like conventional farming, there are numerous approaches to farming grapes organically, from vineyard spacing and trellis design to floor management and agricultural inputs. The following is a discussion of common practices and issues related to organic wine grape production.

The first section of this overview describes the seasonal flow of operations for the production of organic wine grapes. Next, the cover crop and pest management sections give greater details of these practices. Finally, the current status of regulations for organically grown grapes and organic wine are discussed.

Production Practices:

Cultural operations often begin each year after harvest with a postharvest irrigation. Soil amendments are then applied to vineyard soils where tissue and soil analysis, as well as grower experience, have shown it to be appropriate. Mined limestone is commonly applied once every third year in many North Coast vineyards. This practice helps neutralize soil acidity (where applicable), adds calcium to soils, improves drainage, soil tilth and the overall availability of nutrients for crop production. Alternatively, growers in some areas may apply gypsum to manage soil fertility and soil nutrient levels. Gypsum adds calcium and sulfur for crop production and can be used to improve soil tilth with soils high in sodium. Soils deficient in phosphorus may receive applications of rock phosphate and/or bone meal. Sulfate of potash may be banded under the vines each year to boost and maintain potassium levels. A compost of various materials (including manures and grape pomace) can be spread either in vine rows and centers or vine rows only depending upon the amount applied and the purpose of the application. For example, growers may spread compost in vine rows and centers to increase soil organic matter throughout the vineyard, stimulate soil microbial activity and increase overall nutrient levels. Alternatively, growers may choose to spread compost in vine rows only as a means of supplying nutrients specifically for crop production. The above operations are performed in September, October or November depending upon when harvest occurs. Seeded cover crops are planted and irrigated up directly after these operations. Alternatively, if resident vegetation is used as a cover crop, then no specific seeding operations or cover crop irrigation is performed.

Vineyard pruning takes place in December, January and February. Vine training wires are moved downward during pruning operations to prepare the trellis system for the new year's growth. This is included as an operational cost for pruning. The timing of pruning operations is critical; early pruning causes wounds to be more susceptible to *Eutypa dieback* (*Eutypa lata*) if rains should occur in December and also may expose spurs and canes to freeze damage following a mild fall, especially in the northern mountain areas. Prunings are thrown into the centers and shredded and chopped with a mower/chopper. This practice is performed either immediately after pruning or later in the season in conjunction with spring mowing, depending on vineyard floor management practices.

A dormant spray of lime sulfur may be applied in January in some vineyards. This practice can help decrease disease and insect pressures from powdery mildew (*Uncinula necator*), mite pests and overwintering insects within the vineyard. One trade-off, however, is that lime sulfur applications usually increase mortality rates for predaceous mites. The use of lime sulfur is therefore not a common practice among many organic growers, but might be used as an alternative pest control measure following years with severe pest pressure.

Powdery mildew is controlled by multiple applications of sulfur in the spring and summer months. In general, and depending on management technique, a wettable sulfur is applied with an air blast sprayer every 7 to 14 days beginning mid to late March when growth is between budbreak and two inches. Alternatively, some growers may choose to apply dusting sulfur with a duster during this time. Later in the season, however, most growers will apply dusting sulfur to vines every 7 to 14 days to speed operations, decrease costs and increase the material's effective coverage. Grower experience indicates that sulfur dust applications may also increase mortality rates for predaceous mites.

Frost protection can be accomplished by either overhead sprinklers or wind machines. When vines are irrigated with drip irrigation, frost protection by either method represents an additional investment. Substantial variation exists among the counties in the number of nights per year that frost protection is necessary. The following table lists the average frost protection requirements by overhead sprinklers among North Coast counties.

County	Nights/Year	Hours/Night
Lake	12-18	8
Mendocino	10	6
Napa	6	6
Sonoma	6	5

Hand vine care, which takes place from April through July, includes a number of operations. Shoot thinning and suckering (removal of water sprouts), takes place in April and May. Vine training wires are moved three times (in April, May and June *or* May, June and July depending on the vineyard location) to support and accommodate vine and grape growth. Leaf removal is performed after bloom and fruit-set to help control bunch rot (*Botrytis cinerea*) and possibly decrease leafhopper populations.

The delivery method and the amount of water applied to vines may vary from county to county and vineyard to vineyard. Water is generally applied to vines from May through August. Most of the water is applied early to mid season, with less applied closer to harvest. Total applied water will be dependent on seasonal rains, rooting depth, the amount of moisture stored in the soil profile and how vineyard floor vegetation is managed. Also, soils with increased levels of organic matter may be better able to retain moisture and therefore decrease the amount of applied water.

Harvesting may be done by hand or by machine depending on the vineyard design. Generally, a custom operator manages the harvest. Depending on the county, grape variety and the yearly conditions, harvest can take place over the period of late August through mid November.

Yields of organically grown and conventionally produced wine grapes are similar, and can range from approximately three to nine tons per acre. Yields will vary depending on a number of factors including the vineyard design, grape variety, vineyard age, county of production and yearly growing conditions. Average yields for all grape production are shown for the various counties in the following table.

County	Average Yields (tons/ac)	
	White	Red
Lake	5.90	4.50
Mendocino	5.07	4.09
Napa	4.81	4.44
Sonoma	5.54	4.42

*Source: Final Grape Crush Report 1991 Crop

Commodities that are produced organically can often be sold for a higher price than conventionally grown products. However, few pricing premiums for organic wine grapes currently exist. Therefore, grower returns for both organic and conventional wine grapes are usually the same, and can range from approximately \$300 to \$1,700 per ton depending on the grape variety and quality, county of production and current market conditions. Average returns for white and red varieties are shown for the various counties in the following table.

Average Value for all North Coast Wine Grapes*		
County	Average Returns (tons/ac)	
	White	Red
Lake	773	783
Mendocino	839	707
Napa	1,199	1,257
Sonoma	1,034	990

*Source: Final Grape Crush Report 1991 Crop

Cover Crops:

Cover crops can be beneficial for the production of organic wine grapes in a number of ways. If leguminous cover crops are used, soil nitrogen may be increased through nitrogen fixation. Water penetration and infiltration may be improved by root growth of the cover crop and by returning organic matter to soils. Microbial activity, often stimulated by cover crop root exudates and organic matter additions to soils, has been shown to promote soil aggregate stability. Microbes also enhance the decomposition process by breaking down organic matter and releasing nutrients in a form that crops can more readily use. Increased organic matter can also improve the soil's ability to retain moisture. Hilly North Coast vineyards with substantial bare ground may benefit from planting cover crops to help control erosion. Cover crops increase the plant diversity of the vineyard and can provide nectar to attract and sustain beneficial arthropods (insects, spiders, and predatory mites). Cover crops can also reduce dust problems in vineyard systems which in turn can help reduce spider mite pests. Weed suppression may be another direct benefit of cover cropping depending on the species or mix selected. Lastly, farm machinery may be able to enter vineyards earlier and later in the season in situations where a cover crop's (especially perennial cover crops) mat of root and vegetative growth provides support on wet soil. This may also serve to reduce soil compaction.

There may also be some disadvantages with using cover crops in vineyards. Cover crops increase cash costs for seed and labor, and may require the rental or purchase of additional farm machinery. Competition between vines and cover crops may increase the need for additional inputs such as water and nutrients. This should be taken into consideration because water may be in short supply in some North Coast vineyards. Some growers, however, view the cost of planting and maintaining a cover crop as the cost of producing nitrogen and/or improving soil quality for the long-term. Vine row cover crops, which are sometimes used to suppress weeds in no-till systems, may provide a habitat for vertebrate pests which can damage vines. Cover crops may also attract arthropod pests to the vineyard. Another disadvantage of cover cropping may be that air and soil temperatures are lowered by substantial vegetative growth on the vineyard floor. This could increase the possibility of frost damage. Cover crops are often closely mowed or disced under during critical frost periods to overcome this problem. Reduced soil and air temperatures may also delay bud break.

Selection of a particular cover crop species should take into account the yearly production cycle of the vine crop as well as the climate, which dictates the planting time and winter cold tolerance of the cover crop. Also, growers should select cover crops for the specific needs of a vineyard. For example, if soil nitrogen is in short supply, then a leguminous cover crop may be preferred. If, however, increased soil organic matter is desired, then a cover crop that includes legumes, grasses and other species for biomass production may be the best alternative. In addition, the soil type and the irrigation system should be taken into consideration. Growers in some areas may find that certain cover crop species and mixes are not suitable for their soils and conditions. Often, the most suitable cover crop in each situation is determined by observation and experimentation over a period of years.

In this production region several different legumes, perennial grasses, and annual grasses are commonly planted as cover crops. Alternatively, resident vegetation is often managed as a cover crop. There are a number of options for managing annually sown cover crops. If sown in the fall, the cover crop is generally irrigated up with one to three inches of water depending on the planting date, the amount of moisture stored in the soil profile and seasonal rains. After this time, cover crop growth is often dependent on fall and winter rains. In years when sufficient soil moisture exists and/or early fall rains occur, no irrigation for cover crop germination may be necessary. Also, vineyards without overhead sprinkler systems generally rely on fall rains for cover crop germination.

In the spring months management practices for annually sown cover crops depend upon grower preference and the needs of the vineyard. For example, some growers will mow the cover crop and incorporate the residue by disking to reduce competition between the cover crop and vines for water and nutrients. This practice is also performed to speed decomposition of the vegetation and recycling of nutrients. As an alternative after the initial cover crop mowing, the residue may be left on the soil surface to decompose more slowly. This practice is often preferred by those growers whose goal is to minimize tillage operations and improve soil tilth and structure for the long-term. Growers may then disc or mow periodically during the summer to keep vineyard floors free of vegetative growth, or plant a summer annual cover crop to maintain a diverse habitat within the vineyard. Costs will vary accordingly.

Some growers may use yet another strategy for managing annually sown cover crops. In this case, only a portion of the rows of the cover crop are mowed initially to reduce some of the above ground biomass. The remaining cover crop rows will continue to provide sufficient habitat to sustain beneficial insect populations that are already present in the vineyard. Grower experience indicates that the number of insect pests that move to the vines may be reduced when a portion of the cover crop remains. With regrowth of the cover crop in the mowed rows, the remaining (unmowed) rows are then mowed and disced. Therefore, a portion of the cover crop will remain growing in the vineyard for a longer period of time as an insect attractant. The disced rows may be left bare or reseeded with a summer annual cover crop depending on the management practices and the availability of water. Later in the season or after germination and growth of the planted summer cover crop occur, the remainder of the winter sown cover crop will generally be mowed and disced under. Periodic discings may be performed in these rows during the summer months.

Fall irrigation is generally unnecessary when resident vegetation is managed as a cover crop. Growth of this cover crop is dependent on moisture in the soil profile from fall and winter rains. No tillage operations are generally performed in the spring to incorporate vegetative growth, however resident vegetation is mowed periodically in the spring and summer months to reduce the above ground biomass. In the warmer summer months resident vegetation dies back; little or no management is therefore required during this time. However, overall vineyard irrigation requirements are increased because of competition between the vegetation and the vine growth. Alternatively, resident vegetation may be handled similarly to a planted cover crop in that it is disced in the spring.

Pest Management:

Most pesticides that are currently used by producers of conventionally grown wine grapes are not approved for use by organic wine grape growers. Sulfur is a notable exception. In general, pest control products used by organic growers are not as effective for immediate or acute problems as are those products used by conventional growers. The cost for some organically acceptable pest control methods may also be prohibitive for some growers. Therefore, vineyard monitoring, pest identification and pest prevention are essential elements of successful organic wine grape production.

The incidence of certain diseases such as bunch rot and powdery mildew can be increased by moist, humid weather and spring and fall rains. Bunch rot can often be decreased by removing leaves around grape clusters after bloom and fruit-set to open the vine canopy to sunlight and increase air circulation. Vineyard leaf removal is generally performed one time only, however, in years with increased bunch rot incidence, a second leaf removal operation may be necessary. The incidence of powdery mildew is lessened with sulfur applications. In spite of the potentially greater disease incidence in organic vineyards, yields for organic wine grapes in the North Coast are generally equal to those in conventional culture.

Leafhopper and mite problems may be lessened with applications of insecticidal soap and pyrethrin. Insecticidal soaps are derived from plant oils or animal fats and are allowed for use by organic growers. Pyrethrin is considered a botanical pesticide, or a pesticide derived from a naturally occurring plant source. Therefore, it is an acceptable method of insect control in organic farming in California, *provided it does not contain the synergist piperonyl butoxide*. A soap/pyrethrin mix may be applied one time only in late spring or early summer when temperatures, and thus insect activity, increase. However, many organic growers use pyrethrin and/or soap on a limited basis or as an emergency pest control measure only. For one reason, grower experience indicates that pyrethrin use will decrease the number of predatory mites and beneficial insects in the vineyard. The exact application rate and the number of acres treated will depend on the vineyard location and the extensiveness of pest infestations. Leafhopper populations may also be lessened when leaf removal operations are performed, as some nymphs (young leafhoppers) will be removed at the same time. Also, coverage by the pyrethrin and/or soap spray in the fruit zone will be greater when the vine canopy is opened. Growers should be certain that any materials used are in compliance with the rules and regulations of state and third party organic certification agencies. (Refer to the **Regulations of Organically Grown Commodities** section.)

Many beneficial arthropods, such as parasites and predators, occur naturally within organic vineyards. When the parasitic wasp, *Anagrus epos*, is present in vineyards, it can be helpful in controlling the grape leafhopper (*Erythroneura elegantula*). However, *A. epos* requires an overwintering habitat with alternate hosts to survive in or near vineyards on a year-round basis. Research indicates that refuges, which can occur naturally (wild blackberries) or are planted (prune trees), harbor alternate host leafhoppers and may therefore attract and sustain this parasitic wasp during the winter. When these plants are growing in or near the vineyard, parasite activity will usually be higher earlier in the spring. It should be noted that blackberries and other plant species growing in neighboring riparian areas can also serve as a host to sharpshooter leafhoppers, which are vectors of Pierce's Disease. Predaceous arthropods such as spiders, lacewings, and lady beetles can also lessen overall insect pest damage within the vineyard and should therefore be encouraged to proliferate by providing an appropriate habitat (cover crops) and avoiding insect and dormant sprays whenever possible.

Vineyard weeds are most often controlled by discing or mowing centers and by cultivation with a French plow (or a comparable implement) in the vine rows. Hand weeding is often used in addition to mechanical cultivations as a means of vine row weed control. The number of mechanical cultivations, mowings and/or hand weedings may vary among growers. Therefore, production costs may also vary. Some growers are currently using or experimenting with flame weed control in the vine rows. Weeds are not actually burned back; the plant's cells burst upon exposure to the high temperatures a flame weeder produces. This practice essentially kills and eliminates the targeted plants. A few growers are also testing the "mow and move" approach, where cover crop clippings are deposited under the vine row to suppress weed growth.

Regulations of Organically Grown Commodities:

As of January 1, 1992 all growers of organic commodities must register on a yearly basis with the State of California under the California Organic Foods Act of 1990, AB 2012. Enforced under this act are the provisions of Article 4.5 (commencing with Section 26569.20) of Chapter 5 of Division 21 of the California Health and Safety Code. These provisions contain rules and regulations which must be adhered to by all producers and handlers of organic commodities. State law requires that growers follow the necessary guidelines for at least 12 months prior to becoming organic. State registration fees are levied based on the previous year's gross sales. Fees are payable before any sales of the commodity occur.

In addition to state registration, some growers may choose to be certified by a third party certification agency. Third party agencies were formed to set forth and monitor standards for organic production. Before state laws began to govern organic commodities, third party agencies were often the only means to verify that products were, in fact, organically grown. Third party organizations must adhere to all state laws regulating organic commodities, and in addition may enforce standards and procedures specific to their own agencies. Differences between organizations may also occur in the certification process and the associated costs. Farm advisors in your area of interest may be able to provide additional information or assistance.

California Certified Organic Farmers (CCOF) is one of a number of third party organizations in the United States which can certify organic growers within the State of California. CCOF adheres to the standards of the California Organic Foods Act of 1990 as well as its own procedures and standards. Certification by

CCOF is voluntary. CCOF currently requires a three year transition period when converting from conventional production practices to organically acceptable methods.

On October 1, 1993, the federal Organic Foods Production Act of 1990 was to become effective. However, due to budget constraints, implementation of the law will be delayed for at least one year. When implemented, the act will regulate all organic commodities on the national level and will preempt state law where state law is less stringent than federal law. The Secretary of Agriculture has appointed a National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) to make recommendations for implementing the law, including the compilation of a national materials list. This list will indicate which agricultural materials are permissible and which are prohibited in organic agriculture on the national level. The original directive of the Organic Foods Production Act stated that organic growers did not need to register on the federal level. Growers were, however, to be certified by a third party certification agency or a state agency. This requirement was to be different from, and in addition to, California state registration. Because of budget cuts and implementation changes, organic grower's registration requirements may be different than the original directive when the law is finally enacted. Therefore, organic growers and other interested parties should use this information as a guideline only. To comply with the federal law in its current form, growers making the change from conventional to organic must complete a three year transitional period. This means that growers within California must already be in transition to be certified organic on the federal level by the law's anticipated implementation in 1994.

Organic Production Versus Organic Processing:

California has seen a substantial increase in acreage dedicated to the production of organic wine grapes in the last two years. In 1990 CCOF reported that wine grape acreage certified organic or pending certification totaled 5,816 acres. By 1991 this figure had increased to 10,120. Because this figure does not necessarily include all state registered growers, it may represent only a portion of the total organic acreage. However, it is the only data currently available.

California currently follows the standards and guidelines of the Organic Foods Act of 1990 to regulate processed organic products, including organic wine. At issue in the winemaking process is the matter of naturally occurring versus added sulfites. Sulfites are naturally produced at extremely low levels during the wine fermentation process (generally 10 parts per millions (ppm) or less). Also, sulfites are normally added during wine processing to decrease the risk of oxidation and microbial spoilage. Under the California Organic Foods Act of 1990, sulfite additives are prohibited. Therefore, wines with added sulfites may not be labeled organic by California state law regardless of whether or not the wine grapes used in processing were organically grown. However, these wines may claim to be processed from organically grown wine grapes. When no sulfites are added, but do occur naturally, wines may be labeled organic under California state law provided all regulations governing organic commodities are adhered to and that 100% organically grown wine grapes are used. Organic wines currently represent only a very small segment of the market.

In addition to state rules and regulations, the United States Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (BATF) mandates that any wine that contains greater than 10 ppm sulfites be labeled as a product that contains sulfites. The maximum level of sulfites currently allowed in any wine by the BATF is 350 ppm.

An organization of wine grape growers, winemakers, distributors, and wholesalers, the Organic Grapes Into Wine Alliance, is currently addressing the issue of organic wine standards on the federal level with the NOSB. The alliance would like to develop a standard definition of organic wine, and to develop minimum standards by which organic wines can be processed, including the limitation and regulation of sulfites. Federal standards for processed organic foods and wines are targeted for enactment in late 1994. It is not yet clear how this will ultimately affect California legislation governing organic wines. However, the alliance hopes that eventually standards will be initiated on both state and federal levels which are in alignment with international regulations, thus enabling trade throughout the United States and the world.

1992 - U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

ORGANIC WINE GRAPE COST AND RETURNS STUDY General Information

The practices described for the hypothetical organic vineyard used in this report are considered common for wine grapes in the North Coast. Sample costs given for labor, materials, equipment and contract services are based on 1992 prices. The use of trade names is not an endorsement or a recommendation. A blank **Your Cost** column is provided to enter your actual costs on **Table 1 Costs Per Acre to Produce Organic Wine Grapes - Operations** and **Table 2 Detail of Costs Per Acre To Produce Organic Wine Grapes - Inputs**. Some costs and practices detailed in this study may not be applicable to your situation. This study is only intended as a guide and can be used in making production decisions, determining potential returns, preparing budgets and evaluating production loans.

This report consists of the set of **Assumptions For Producing Organic Wine Grapes** and six tables.

- Table 1. **Costs Per Acre To Produce Organic Wine Grapes - Operations**
- Table 2. **Detail of Costs Per Acre To Produce Organic Wine Grapes - Inputs**
- Table 3. **Monthly Cash Costs Per Acre To Produce Organic Wine Grapes**
- Table 4. **Annual Equipment, Investment And Business Overhead Costs For Organic Wine Grape Production**
- Table 5. **Hourly Equipment Costs For Organic Wine Grape Production**
- Table 6. **Ranging Analysis**

For an explanation of calculations used for the study refer to the attached assumptions, call the Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Cooperative Extension, University of California, Davis, California, (530) 752-3563 or call the farm advisor in the county of interest.

A second cost study entitled "*Sample Costs To Produce Organic Wine Grapes In The North Coast With Resident Vegetation*" is also available in conjunction with, or as an alternative to, this report.

A companion study entitled "*Sample Costs To Establish A Vineyard And Produce Wine Grapes In Sonoma County - 1992*" is available for those interested in vineyard establishment costs and for production costs of conventionally grown wine grapes.

The above studies can be requested through the Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, U.C. Davis, or from selected county Cooperative Extension offices.

The University of California Cooperative Extension in compliance with the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, religion, color, national origins, or mental or physical handicaps in any of its programs or activities, or with respect to any of its employment practices or procedures. The University of California does not discriminate on the basis of age, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship, medical condition (as defined in section 12926 of the California Government Code) or because the individuals are disabled or Vietnam era veterans. Inquiries regarding this policy may be directed to the Personnel Studies and Affirmative Action Manager, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2120 University Avenue, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, (510) 644-4270.

University of California and the United States Department of Agriculture cooperating.

**Assumptions For Producing Organic Wine Grapes
In The North Coast
With An Annually Sown Cover Crop**

This study reflects the practices and costs associated with a production system for organically grown wine grapes in the North Coast region of California. While every effort is made to model a production system based on real world practices, this report cannot fully represent the costs and practices that are specific to each vineyard, or the variations that exist between the four counties which comprise this region. Therefore, this study should be interpreted as a representative operation and not as a statistical average.

The vineyard in this report is assumed to have been established as a conventional vineyard for wine grape production. It is considered to have completed the transition period and is registered and certified as organic. Changing a farming system from conventional to organic practices requires a transition period. State and third party certification agency rules and regulations which are specific to organic commodities must be adhered to during this time period. Crops grown in transition years may not be sold or labeled as organic. Commodities that are produced organically can often be sold for a higher price than conventionally grown products. However, few pricing premiums for organic wine grapes currently exist.

The following is a description of general assumptions pertaining to sample costs for organic wine grape production with an annually sown cover crop in the North Coast region. The costs are based on common practices used by local growers and may not be applicable to all situations. Production practices and vineyard management techniques are generally individualized to meet the specific needs of each grower. These costs are represented on an annual per acre basis.

1. LAND:

The total vineyard size is 37 acres. Land is owned by the grower and is valued at \$13,000 per acre. This figure is within the low and high ranges of values for undeveloped land with vineyard potential for the four counties that comprise this region. Land costs per acre will vary within the region and within each county. Land is not depreciated. Land is assumed to be level, with well drained soils of moderate depth and fertility. The following table shows the range of values for land suitable for vineyards in each county.

Range of Vineyard Land Values in the North Coast Counties*	
County	Land Value Ranges (\$/ac)
Lake	4,000 - 5,500
Mendocino	6,000 - 7,000
Napa	17,000 - 30,000
Sonoma	10,000 - 25,000

*Source: Thomas Jordan, Associated Service Appraisal Partners, Napa, Ca.

2. VINEYARD ESTABLISHMENT:

The establishment cost is the sum of the costs for the land preparation, trellis system, planting, vines, cash overhead and production expenses for growing the vines through the first year that grapes are harvested (year three). The vineyard establishment cost is used to determine the non-cash overhead expenses, depreciation and interest on investment during the production years. (For more detailed information on this cost refer to the companion study *Sample Costs To Establish A Vineyard And Produce Wine Grapes In Sonoma County - 1992*).

3. VINES:

Grape variety is not specified in this study. Vines are planted on a 7' x 11' spacing with 566 vines per acre. Vines are cordon trained and spur pruned. The vineyard life is assumed to be 22 years beyond three establishment years.

4. TRELLIS SYSTEM:

A vertical shoot positioned trellis is utilized with a five wire design; a lower, or fruiting wire, and two pair of movable wires above. Wires are attached to lodgepoles which are placed at every fourth vine. The drip irrigation line is attached to the permanent (lower) wire. The movable wires are adjusted three times (May, June and July) during the growing season to accommodate vine and grape growth.

5. DRIP IRRIGATION:

Water for the drip irrigation system is pumped from a depth of 150 feet in a 200 foot well using a 10 horsepower (hp) pump. Pressure at the discharge head is 35 pounds per square inch (psi) and produces a flow of 125 gallons per minute (gpm). The cost for the drip irrigation system includes the 10 hp pump, the filtration system, all drip lines, emitters and labor charges for installation. In addition, 100% of the cost to refurbish the well is included in the cost of the drip irrigation system. The irrigation system has a 25 year lifespan, is an improvement to the property, and is therefore included in **Table 4** as an investment.

The amount of drip irrigation water applied for crop growth is assumed to be 200 gallons per vine per year or approximately one-third of an acre-foot per acre. The amount of water applied through the drip system to irrigate the vines relates to the vineyard floor management practices. Incorporation of a cover crop in the spring minimizes the competition for soil moisture between the cover crop and vines. (See the **cover crop** section below). The pumping cost for irrigation water is estimated at \$24.48 per acre-foot. This vineyard is irrigated with the drip system between May and August.

6. OVERHEAD SPRINKLERS/FROST PROTECTION:

In this study, an overhead sprinkler system is used mainly for frost protection, but is also used for postharvest irrigation and for cover crop germination. The entire system consists of a 20 acre-foot reservoir, a 1500 gpm pump powered by a diesel engine, a permanent underground pipeline, and solid set sprinklers. Water for the indicated operation is pumped from the well into the reservoir and stored there until needed. This system has an expected 25 year life, is considered an improvement to the property, and is therefore included as an investment in **Table 4**.

Three inches of water per acre is applied for the postharvest irrigation and one inch per acre for cover crop germination. Frost protection is assumed to be necessary 12 nights per year at six hours per night. Each frost protection application is equal to approximately one-half inch of water per night per acre. Frost protection takes place over the period from March through May. Annual water application through this system on all 37 acres is 19.79 acre-feet total for the postharvest irrigation, cover crop germination and frost protection. The cost of the water for overhead sprinkler operations is \$35.88 per acre-foot. The cost difference between water for drip irrigation and for sprinklers is due to the extra pumping required to move the water from the reservoir through the overhead sprinklers. These figures are within a range of use and costs for the North Coast and will vary among the counties.

7. YIELD & RETURN RANGES FOR ORGANIC WINE GRAPES:

For the hypothetical vineyard in this study no pricing premium is assumed. Net returns above total costs are positive at a price for grapes of \$1,000 per ton when yields reach 4.75 tons per acre. For prices at or below \$500 per ton and for yields at or below 9 tons per acre, it is not profitable to produce organic wine grapes. At a high price of \$1,700 per ton, the breakeven yield is 2.5 tons per acre. A ranging analysis for varying yields and prices is shown in **Table 6**.

8. PRODUCTION PRACTICES:

Production practices in this study are listed in **Table 1**. This table shows the order in which the operations are performed, as well as the hours per acre required for each operation. Labor and custom rates, material and fuel and repair costs are also included in this table. In addition, **Table 3** shows the monthly cash costs and sequence of operations.

To increase soil tilth, fertility, and nutrient availability, some soil amendments are applied to the organic vineyard analyzed in this study, as shown in the following table. Soil amendments are added if warranted by tissue and soil sampling.

Soil Amendment Materials			
Material	Number of Applications/Year	Application Rate/Acre	Month Performed
Mined Limestone	1/3 (one in three years)	3 tons ¹	October
Sulfate of Potash	1	250 lbs	October
Compost	1	1-2 tons	October

¹ Divided by three = annual rate per acre included in this study.

9. COVER CROPS:

In this study, a winter annual cover crop is sown each year in the fall. The planted cover crop is a mixture of oats, winter peas, and purple vetch. To prepare the ground for seeding of the cover crop, vineyard row centers are disced once in the fall after harvest. The seed mixture is then drilled into the centers only, at a rate of 52.5 pounds per planted acre. This represents 75% of the seeding rate per vineyard acre to account for space taken up by the vine rows. This seeding rate also represents a mixture of the three species of cover crops (18.75 pounds of oats, 19.5 pounds of winter peas and 14.25 pounds of vetch). The cover crop is sprinkler irrigated with one inch of water for germination. After this irrigation, cover crop growth is dependent on moisture in the soil profile and fall and winter rains. When brush from the pruning operations is chopped in March the cover crop is mowed simultaneously. In April, the cover crop is mowed again, and then incorporated into the soil by discing. Throughout the remainder of the spring and summer row centers are disced to control weeds. Therefore, no irrigation water is necessary in the late spring or summer months for the cover crop.

10. PEST MANAGEMENT:

The incidence of disease, as well as invertebrate and vertebrate pest damage is assumed to be low. However, some treatments are commonly used to minimize pest damage. The following table indicates the pest management techniques used in this study.

Pest Management Materials			
Material	Number of Applications	Application Rate/Acre	Month Performed
Wettable Sulfur	3	3.5 lbs	March, April
Dusting Sulfur	6	10 lbs	May, June, July
Insecticidal Soap	1/3 (of acreage)	2 gals ¹	June
Pyrethrin ²	1/3 (of acreage)	2 pints ¹	June

¹ Divided by three = annual rate per acre included in this study.

² May be omitted by some growers.

Because many beneficial arthropods occur naturally within organic vineyards, costs for release or augmentation of parasites and predators are not considered in this study. Weeds in the vineyard centers are controlled by discing. A French plow is used to cultivate vine row weeds. Hand weeding is used in the vine rows in addition to mechanical cultivations. No other means of weed control are used in this report.

11. HARVEST:

Harvest operations are performed by a custom operator at a cost of \$120 per ton. This rate reflects the cost for hand harvesting. This study assumes that harvest takes place in the month of September.

For more information on custom harvesting contact the farm advisor or companies contracting for grapes in the area of interest. If growers choose to do their own harvesting, equipment for the required operations should be inventoried and labor, fuel, repairs, depreciation and interest on investment should be added as a cost of production to **Tables 1** and **3**. Custom charges, then, would not be included.

12. LABOR:

Basic hourly wages for workers are \$8.00 and \$6.00 per hour for machine operators and field workers, respectively. Adding 34% for SDI, FICA, insurance and other benefits increases the labor rates shown to \$10.72 per hour for machine labor and \$8.04 per hour for non-machine labor. The labor hours for operations involving machinery are 20% higher than operation time to account for extra labor involved in equipment set-up, moving, maintenance and repair. Wages for managers are not included as a cash cost. Any returns above total costs are considered returns to management and risk.

13. INVESTMENT:

The investments shown in **Table 4** are those that are allocated to the entire vineyard. The totals in **Table 4** are used for the costs in **Tables 2, 4** and **6**. Annual investments shown in **Table 1** represent depreciation and opportunity cost for each investment on an annual per acre basis.

14. BUSINESS OVERHEAD:

County taxes are calculated as 1% of the average value of equipment, buildings and improvements. Property insurance is charged at 0.5% of the average value of all assets over their useful life. Liability insurance covers accidents on the farm and costs \$300 per year. Office and business costs are estimated at \$250 per acre for the farm. These expenses include office supplies, telephones, bookkeeping, accounting, legal fees, road preparation and maintenance. Leaf and soil analyses are included at \$4 per acre.

15. ASSESSMENTS:

In this study, a stepped scale organic grower's registration fee of \$300 is assessed by the State of California on the gross sales amount of \$175,750. The fee is calculated by multiplying the yield (4.75 tons per acre) by the price received (\$1,000 per ton) and the number of acres (37). This is only an estimate of potential fees and will vary depending on yields and returns. Contact the County Agricultural Commissioner in your area for further details.

The grower is assumed to be certified by California Certified Organic Farmers (CCOF). CCOF adheres to the standards of the California Organic Foods Act of 1990 as well as its own specific procedures and standards. Certification by CCOF is voluntary. Before January 1, 1992, CCOF required a one year transition period when converting from conventional production practices to organically acceptable methods. The requirement is now three years. Annual membership fees are \$125. Inspection fees are \$115. An assessment fee of 0.5% of gross sales, or \$879, is included as a cost of production. These fees are specific to this study. Fees are based on the number of acres and parcels contained in an operation as well as whether or not the farm is totally organic. Therefore, individual situations may vary.

16. INTEREST:

Interest on operating capital is based on a short term interest rate charged against cash costs and is calculated monthly until harvest at a nominal interest rate of 9% per year. Interest is also charged on investment at a real interest rate of 4% per year to account for income foregone that could be received from an alternative investment (opportunity cost) and is calculated using a long term interest rate charged on the average value of the land, improvements to the property and equipment. A real interest rate indicates the return for the use of capital and does not include any adjustment for inflation. A nominal interest rate would include an inflation factor.

17. EQUIPMENT COSTS:

In allocating the equipment costs per acre, the following calculations were made and shown in **Table 5**: (a) **Original Cost** of equipment is the cost of the new equipment plus sales tax. (b) **Depreciation** is straight line with a 10% salvage value. (c) **Interest** on investment is calculated as the average value per acre of the equipment during its useful life multiplied by a real interest rate of 4%. Average value per acre equals new cost plus salvage value divided by 2 then divided by the number of acres. (d) The **Total Investment Costs** are calculated as 60% of the depreciation and the interest costs for all new equipment to reflect a mix of the new and used equipment. These values are also used in **Table 1, 4**, and **6**. All of this equipment is used on the entire 37 acre vineyard.

18. FUEL & REPAIR:

The fuel and repair cost per acre for each operation in **Table 1** is determined by multiplying the total hourly operating cost for each piece of equipment in **Table 5** by the number of hours per acre for that operation. Prices for on-farm delivery of gasoline and diesel are \$0.98 and \$0.71 per gallon, respectively.

19. ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Several organic wine grape producers assisted in furnishing information for this study. Appreciation is expressed to those growers and other individuals who provided assistance.

This study was funded by the University of California's Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SAREP) and Giannini Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. California Agricultural Statistics Service. 1992. *Final Grape Crush Report 1991 Crop*. Sacramento, California.
2. California Certified Organic Farmers, Inc. (CCOF). 1992. *California Certified Organic Farmers 1992 Handbook*. agAccess.Davis, California.
3. Debach, P. and D. Rosen. 1991. *Biological control by natural enemies*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, U.K.
4. Finch, Clarence U. and W. Curtis Sharp. 1976. *Cover Crops in California Orchards & Vineyards*. USDA Soil Conservation Service.
5. Flint, Mary Louise. 1990. *Pests of the Garden and Small Farm. A Grower's Guide to Using Less Pesticide*. University of California, Statewide Integrated Pest Management Project, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Publication 3332.
6. Integrated Pest Management Education and Publications. 1991. *U.C. IPM Pest Management Guidelines*. University of California, Statewide Integrated Pest Management Project, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Publication 3339.
7. Miller, P.R., et al. 1989. *Covercrops for California Agriculture*. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Leaflet 21471.
8. Vaupel, Suzanne. 1992. *What a Farmer Needs to Know. A Summary of California & Federal Organic Production Laws*. Suzanne Vaupel, Vaupel Associates. Sacramento, California.

Table 1.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE ORGANIC WINE GRAPES - 1992 - OPERATIONS
 WITH AN ANNUALLY SOWN COVER CROP

Labor Rate: \$10.72/hr. machine labor
 \$8.04/hr. non-machine labor

Interest Rate: 9.00%
 Yield per Acre: 4.75 ton

Operation	Time (Hrs/A)	Labor Cost	Fuel & Repairs	Cash and Labor Material Cost	Custom/ Rent	Total Cost	Your Cost
Cultural:							
Postharvest Irrigation	0.40	3.22	0.00	8.97	0.00	12.19	
Lime Application - 1 of 3 years	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.47	10.00	46.47	
Potassium Application	1.00	36.98	4.29	48.75	0.00	90.03	
Compost Application	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.08	10.00	85.08	
Disc For Cover Crop - 1X	0.40	5.15	2.52	0.00	0.00	7.67	
Plant Cover Crop - Drill Seed	0.50	6.43	2.15	16.36	13.55	38.49	
Irrigate Up - Cover Crop	0.40	3.22	0.00	2.99	0.00	6.21	
Pruning	19.99	160.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	160.72	
Chop Brush/Mow Cover Crop	0.65	8.36	3.82	0.00	0.00	12.18	
Frost Protection	1.92	15.44	0.00	17.94	0.00	33.38	
Sulfur Application - 9X	1.95	25.08	11.92	12.51	0.00	49.51	
Thin Shoots/Sucker*	40.00	321.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	321.60	
Mow Cover Crop - 1X	0.50	6.43	2.94	0.00	0.00	9.37	
Disc - 3X	1.80	23.16	11.35	0.00	0.00	34.50	
Under Vine Weeds - Cultivate	1.00	12.86	5.34	0.00	0.00	18.21	
Move Wires	9.00	72.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.36	
Under Vine Weeds - Hand Hoe 2X**	8.00	64.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.32	
Irrigation	4.80	38.59	0.00	8.16	0.00	46.75	
Leaf Removal***	27.00	217.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	217.08	
Soap/Pyrethrin App 1/3 of Acre	0.08	1.03	0.53	16.92	0.00	18.48	
Pickup Use	7.75	99.70	35.06	0.00	0.00	134.76	
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	127.14	1121.72	79.92	244.15	33.55	1479.35	
Harvest:							
Harvest - Contract	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	570.00	570.00	
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	570.00	570.00	
Assessments:							
Ca. State Organic Registration Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.11	0.00	8.11	
CCOF Inspection Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.11	0.00	3.11	
CCOF Membership Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.38	0.00	3.38	
CCOF .5% of Gross Sales	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.75	0.00	23.75	
TOTAL ASSESSMENT COSTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.35	0.00	38.35	
Interest on operating capital @ 9.00%							74.32
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE		1121.72	79.92	282.50	603.55	2162.02	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON						455.16	

* Costs for Thin Shoots/Sucker can range from \$150-350 per acre.

** Costs for Hand Hoeing can range from \$50-100 per acre.

*** Costs for Leaf Removal can range from \$60-225 per acre.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 ORGANIC WINE GRAPES - 1992 - WITH AN ANNUALLY SOWN COVER CROP
 Table 1. continued

		Total Cost	Your Cost

CASH OVERHEAD:			
Office Expense		250.00	
Leaf & Soil Analyses		4.00	
Liability Insurance		8.11	
Property Taxes		218.85	
Property Insurance		109.43	
Investment Repairs		20.95	

TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS		611.33	

TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE		2773.35	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON		583.86	

NON-CASH OVERHEAD:			
Investment	Per producing Acre	----- Annual Cost -----	
		Depreciation Interest @ 4.00%	
Buildings	425.68	14.16 8.53	22.69
Shop tools	270.27	16.22 5.95	22.16
Land	13000.00	520.00	520.00
Drip Irrigation System	1474.59	53.09 32.44	85.53
Frost Protection System	1289.73	46.43 28.37	74.80
Vineyard Establishment	11039.00	501.77 220.78	722.55
Pruning Equipment	32.43	2.92 0.71	3.63
ATV - 4WD	175.68	31.62 3.86	35.49
Reservoir - 20 acft	1351.35	40.54 29.73	70.27
Equipment	1137.49	92.97 25.02	117.99
	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	30196.22	799.71 875.40	1675.12

TOTAL COSTS/ACRE			4448.47
TOTAL COSTS/TON			936.52
=====			

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 ORGANIC WINE GRAPES - 1992 - WITH AN ANNUALLY SOWN COVER CROP
 Table 2. continued

	Cost/ Acre	Your Cost

CASH OVERHEAD COSTS:		
Office Expense	250.00	
Leaf & Soil Analyses	4.00	
Liability Insurance	8.11	
Property Taxes	218.85	
Property Insurance	109.43	
Investment Repairs	20.95	

TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE	611.33	

TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	2773.35	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON	583.86	

NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS (DEPRECIATION & INTEREST):		
Buildings	22.69	
Shop tools	22.16	
Land	520.00	
Drip Irrigation System	85.53	
Frost Protection System	74.80	
Vineyard Establishment	722.55	
Pruning Equipment	3.63	
ATV - 4WD	35.49	
Reservoir - 20 acft	70.27	
Equipment	117.99	

TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE	1675.12	

TOTAL COSTS/ACRE	4448.47	
TOTAL COSTS/TON	936.52	

Table 3.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
MONTHLY CASH COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE ORGANIC WINE GRAPES - 1992
WITH AN ANNUALLY SOWN COVER CROP

Beginning OCT 91 Ending SEP 92	OCT 91	NOV 91	DEC 91	JAN 92	FEB 92	MAR 92	APR 92	MAY 92	JUN 92	JUL 92	AUG 92	SEP 92	TOTAL
Cultural:													
Postharvest Irrigation	12.19												12.19
Lime Application-1 of 3 yr.	46.47												46.47
Potassium Application	90.03												90.03
Compost Application	85.08												85.08
Disc For Cover Crop - 1X	7.67												7.67
Plant Cover Crop-Drill Seed	38.49												38.49
Irrigate Up - Cover Crop	6.21												6.21
Pruning			53.55	53.55	53.63								160.72
Chop Brush/Mow Cover Crop						12.18							12.18
Frost Protection						11.13	11.13	11.13					33.38
Sulfur Application - 9X						5.63	11.26	10.87	10.87	10.87			49.51
Thin Shoots/Sucker							160.80	160.80					321.60
Mow Cover Crop 1X							9.37						9.37
Disc - 3X							11.50	11.50		11.50			34.50
Under Vine Weeds-Cultivate							18.21						18.21
Move Wires								24.12	24.12	24.12			72.36
Under Vine Weeds-Hand Hoe 2X								32.16		32.16			64.32
Irrigation							15.58	15.58	7.80	7.80			46.75
Leaf Removal								217.08					217.08
Soap/Pyrethrin App 1/3 of ac.								18.48					18.48
Pickup Use												134.76	134.76
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	286.13		53.55	53.55	53.63	28.94	222.27	266.16	286.13	86.45	7.80	134.76	1479.35
Harvest:													
Harvest - Contract												570.00	570.00
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS												570.00	570.00
Assessments:													
Ca. St. Org. Reg. Fees												8.11	8.11
CCOF Inspection Fees												3.11	3.11
CCOF Membership Fees												3.38	3.38
CCOF .5% of Gross Sales												23.75	23.75
TOTAL ASSESSMENT COSTS												38.35	38.35
Interest on oper. capital	2.15	2.15	2.55	2.95	3.35	3.57	5.24	7.23	9.38	10.03	10.08	15.66	74.32
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	288.27	2.15	56.09	56.50	56.98	32.51	227.50	273.39	295.51	96.48	17.88	758.76	2162.02
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON	60.69	0.45	11.81	11.89	12.00	6.84	47.90	57.56	62.21	20.31	3.76	159.74	455.16
CASH OVERHEAD:													
Office Expense	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	20.83	250.00
Leaf & Soil Analyses									4.00				4.00
Liability Insurance				8.11									8.11
Property Taxes			109.43				109.43						218.85
Property Insurance				109.43									109.43
Investment Repairs	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	20.95
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	22.58	22.58	132.00	140.11	22.58	22.58	132.00	22.58	26.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	611.33
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	310.85	24.72	188.10	196.61	79.56	55.09	359.51	295.97	322.09	119.06	40.46	781.34	2773.35
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON	65.44	5.21	39.60	41.39	16.75	11.60	75.69	62.31	67.81	25.06	8.52	164.49	583.86

Table 4.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
ANNUAL EQUIPMENT, INVESTMENT, AND BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS FOR ORGANIC WINE GRAPE PRODUCTION - 1992
WITH AN ANNUALLY SOWN COVER CROP

ANNUAL EQUIPMENT COSTS

Yr	Description	Price	Yrs Life	- Non-Cash Over. - Depre- ciation	Interest	- Cash Overhead - Insur- ance	Taxes	Total
92	60 HP 4WD Tractor	29900	15	1794.00	657.80	82.23	164.45	2698.48
92	Air Blast Sprayer	4560	10	410.40	100.32	12.54	25.08	548.34
92	Disc - 8'	7000	15	420.00	154.00	19.25	38.50	631.75
92	Duster	3035	10	273.20	66.76	8.35	16.69	365.00
92	French Plow	3650	15	219.00	80.30	10.04	20.07	329.41
92	Mower/Chopper 8'	5500	10	495.00	121.00	15.13	30.25	661.38
92	Pick up - 1/2 ton	16500	7	2121.43	363.00	45.37	90.75	2620.55
TOTAL		70145		5733.03	1543.18	192.91	385.79	7854.91
60% of New Cost *		42087		3439.82	925.91	115.75	231.47	4712.95

* Used to reflect a mix of new and used equipment.

ANNUAL INVESTMENT COSTS

Yr	Description	Price	Yrs Life	- Non-Cash Over. - Depre- ciation	Interest	- Cash Overhead - Insur- ance	Taxes	Repairs	Total
INVESTMENT									
	ATV - 4WD	6500	5	1170.00	143.00	17.88	35.75	50.00	1416.63
	Buildings	15750	30	524.00	315.60	39.45	78.90	100.00	1057.95
	Drip Irrigation System	54560	25	1964.16	1200.32	150.04	300.08	300.00	3914.60
	Vineyard Establishment	408443	22	18565.50	8168.86	1021.11	2042.22	0.00	29797.69
	Frost Protection System	47720	25	1717.92	1049.84	131.23	262.46	200.00	3361.45
	Land	481000			19240.00	2405.00	4810.00	0.00	26455.00
	Pruning Equipment	1200	10	108.00	26.40	3.30	6.60	25.00	169.30
	Reservoir - 20 acft	50000	30	1500.00	1100.00	137.50	275.00	0.00	3012.50
	Shop tools	10000	15	600.00	220.00	27.50	55.00	100.00	1002.50
TOTAL INVESTMENT		1075173		26149.58	31464.02	3933.01	7866.01	775.00	70187.62

ANNUAL BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS

Description	Units/ Farm	Unit	Price/ Unit	Total Cost
Leaf & Soil Analyses	37.00	acre	4.00	148.00
Liability Insurance	1.00	year	300.00	300.00
Office Expense	37.00	acre	250.00	9250.00

Table 5. U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
 HOURLY EQUIPMENT COSTS FOR ORGANIC WINE GRAPE PRODUCTION - 1992
 WITH AN ANNUALLY SOWN COVER CROP

Yr Description	Actual Hours Used	COSTS PER HOUR							Total Oper.	Total Costs/Hr.
		-Non-Cash Depre- ciation	Over.- Interest	- Cash Insur- ance	Overhead - Taxes	Repairs	Operating Fuel & Lube			
92 60 HP 4WD Tractor	320.7	3.36	1.23	0.15	0.31	1.49	2.41	3.90	8.95	
92 Air Blast Sprayer	30.7	8.02	1.96	0.25	0.49	2.29	0.00	2.29	13.00	
92 Disc - 8'	81.4	3.10	1.14	0.14	0.28	2.01	0.00	2.01	6.67	
92 Duster	44.4	3.69	0.90	0.11	0.23	1.52	0.00	1.52	6.46	
92 French Plow	37.0	3.55	1.30	0.16	0.33	1.05	0.00	1.05	6.39	
92 Mower/Chopper 8'	42.5	6.98	1.71	0.21	0.43	1.58	0.00	1.58	10.91	
92 Pick up - 1/2 ton	286.8	4.44	0.76	0.09	0.19	2.99	1.53	4.52	10.01	

Table 6. RANGING ANALYSIS
 ORGANIC WINE GRAPES - 1992 - WITH AN ANNUALLY SOWN COVER CROP

COSTS PER ACRE AT VARYING YIELDS TO PRODUCE ORGANIC WINE GRAPES

	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	3.00	3.50	4.25	4.75	6.25	7.75	9.00
OPERATING COSTS/ACRE:							
Cultural Cost	1479	1479	1479	1479	1479	1479	1479
Harvest Cost	360	420	510	570	750	930	1080
Assessment Cost	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
Interest on operating capital	73	73	74	74	76	77	78
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	1950	2011	2102	2162	2343	2525	2676
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON	650.15	574.54	494.49	455.16	374.94	325.77	297.32
CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE							
	611	611	611	611	611	611	611
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	2562	2622	2713	2773	2955	3136	3287
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON	853.93	749.21	638.33	583.86	472.75	404.65	365.24
NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE							
	1675	1675	1675	1675	1675	1675	1675
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE	4237	4297	4388	4448	4630	4811	4962
TOTAL COSTS/TON	1412.30	1227.81	1032.47	936.52	740.77	620.80	551.37

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
RANGING ANALYSIS - 1992

Table 6. continued

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE OPERATING COSTS FOR ORGANIC WINE GRAPES

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	3.00	3.50	4.25	4.75	6.25	7.75	9.00
300.00	-1050	-961	-827	-737	-468	-200	24
500.00	-450	-261	23	213	782	1350	1824
750.00	300	614	1086	1400	2344	3288	4074
1000.00	1050	1489	2148	2588	3907	5225	6324
1250.00	1800	2364	3211	3775	5469	7163	8574
1500.00	2550	3239	4273	4963	7032	9100	10824
1700.00	3150	3939	5123	5913	8282	10650	12624

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE CASH COSTS FOR ORGANIC WINE GRAPES

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	3.00	3.50	4.25	4.75	6.25	7.75	9.00
300.00	-1662	-1572	-1438	-1348	-1080	-811	-587
500.00	-1062	-872	-588	-398	170	739	1213
750.00	-312	3	475	789	1733	2676	3463
1000.00	438	878	1537	1977	3295	4614	5713
1250.00	1188	1753	2600	3164	4858	6551	7963
1500.00	1938	2628	3662	4352	6420	8489	10213
1700.00	2538	3328	4512	5302	7670	10039	12013

NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE TOTAL COSTS FOR ORGANIC WINE GRAPES

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	YIELD (TON/ACRE)						
	3.00	3.50	4.25	4.75	6.25	7.75	9.00
300.00	-3337	-3247	-3113	-3023	-2755	-2486	-2262
500.00	-2737	-2547	-2263	-2073	-1505	-936	-462
750.00	-1987	-1672	-1201	-886	58	1001	1788
1000.00	-1237	-797	-138	302	1620	2939	4038
1250.00	-487	78	924	1489	3183	4876	6288
1500.00	263	953	1987	2677	4745	6814	8538
1700.00	863	1653	2837	3627	5995	8364	10338